To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this request sheet and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 | Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

#### General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction In: All respondents must complete to			n			
I certify under penalty of perjuand that I am authorized to m	ury that the	e information in this certification on behalf	document is true and of:	I correct to	the best of my knowledge,	
Jurisdiction Name		County				
City of La Meso			San Diogo County			
Authorized Signature	. 0		Trile			
Sauch	41	Seal	City Manager			
Type/Print Name of Person Signing Date			Phone			
Sandra Kerl		(619) 667-1105		1105		
Person Completing This Form (pleas	so print or typ	) (v)	Titlo			
Tony Winney			Administrative Analyst			
Phone		E-mail Address		Fax		
<b>(619)667-</b> 1191		twinney@ci.la-nveso.ca.us		(619)462-7	528	
Mailing Address City			State		ZtP Code	
8130 Allison Avonue La Mosa			CA		91941	
)	I					

· Board Meeting	Agenda Item 30
January 18-19, 2005 Section II—Cover Sheet	Attachment 1
This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Divergequirement (ADR) requested.	sion
1. Eligibility  Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardou Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 19 requesting an ADR)?	
No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.	
Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.	
2. Specific Request and Length of Request	
Please specify the request desired.	
Specific years requested _2004 and 2005	
Is this a second request? No Yes Specific years requested(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)	on's efforts to
☐ Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).	•
Specific ADR requested	
Is this a second ADR request?  No Yes Specific ADR requested%, for years  (Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's 6 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)	
<b>Note:</b> Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for an three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be base circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years beyond January 1, 2006.	ny period up to ed on new

#### Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

Through an ongoing evaluation of business surveys, site visits and outreach to businesses we have learned of several areas that can be addressed to positively impact our diversion rate. The City believes that an aggressive "recharging" and "relaunching" of its existing SRRE programs along with the introduction of additional efforts presented in this time extension will result in the City's achievement of a 50% diversion rate.

Barriers to the City reaching the 50% diversion goal include obstacles in implementing and expanding the City's commercial onsite collection program, multi-family recycling program, construction and demolition (C&D) diversion, and accurate disposal allocation at the transfer station located within the City. Many of the businesses are reluctant to initiate recycling services, or they do not think it is in their economic best interests to initiate recycling programs. The City will provide additional outreach and information to businesses not participating in recycling programs to encourage them to participate and to show businesses the economic benefits that they can receive through participation in recycling programs. In addition to direct contact the City will conduct focused outreach will be conducted through newsletters and the City will explore direct mailing brochures in English and Spanish to businesses that the City thinks will benefit most through initiating recycling services or expanding current services and the for those businesses that are not concerned with the economic impacts of initiating recycling, the City will consider using the City's mandatory recycling ordinance as a tool to persuade multi-family residences and commercial businesses to implement recycling services. The City's curbside recycling program has been in place since 1991. Initially implemented as a source separated program, the program was converted to single-stream collection in 2001. Tonnage collected in 2003 was 4.814.38 tons. The franchised hauler collected a monthly average of 270.57 tons January - October 2001 prior to introduction of single stream recycling. During the same period of 2002 after single stream had been implemented the hauler collected a monthly average of 316.9 tons. Although the single stream program has worked well with our residential base, the City and its franchised hauler have not embarked on a widespread campaign to inform multi-family housing owners of the expanded types of products that can now be recycled at their complexes. Originally implemented as beverage containers and newspaper in 50/50 "split bins", the multi-family program was also evolved into single stream collection. The City would like to conduct more aggressive outreach to multi-family units regarding the variety of mixed paper and cardboard materials that are accepted. This outreach would consist of direct contact from the hauler and from the City to multi-family complex owners and property managers to alert them to the economic benefits of recycling. This outreach will also be conducted via EDCO's Environmental Times newsletter, the La Mesa FOCUS newsletter, and through both organizations' websites.

The space limitations that exist at many of our multi-family units also pose a unique challenge to encouraging owners and complex managers to find space for needed recycling bins or carts. The City implemented a mandatory recycling ordinance in 1992 but has preferred to educate owners and managers of multi-family units about the financial benefits of recycling rather than pursuing enforcement. The City will explore more aggressive enforcement of our mandatory recycling ordinance in an effort to gain greater acceptance of recycling at our multi-family residences. For those multi-family and commercial businesses that can benefit most from recycling on their premises, we plan to use our mandatory recycling ordinance as a tool to persuade those customers to allocate space for recycling services. The City of La Mesa is mostly built out yet has recently experienced an increased amount of redevelopment as a result of ever-rising real estate prices in the region. As a result, many cities and the County of San Diego have begun to work together to formulate a plan to track and divert this significant part of the waste stream. It has become apparent that the influx of construction and demolition waste from such redevelopment projects must be diverted at a higher rate than in the past. In an effort to do so, La Mesa will consider a C&D ordinance or policy to track and divert C&D materials from the landfills.

After implementation of a C&D ordinance or policy, the City would also integrate the new tracking requirements into the planning and building process for all new construction and redevelopment projects that meet the mandatory square footage requirements stipulated under the ordinance. This process would also include the creation and distribution of public education materials to help educate the building industry and residents of the new reporting and diversion requirements.

The City of La Mesa continues to have concerns about the reported origin of self-hauled waste and waste hauled by other than our franchised hauler, EDCO. This concern has been reported in all past annual reports to the Board, and we continue to have the same concerns. This is due to the fact that La Mesa borders the unincorporated County of San Diego to the East and Southeast, and the two areas share the same zip code, 91941. Residents living in that area of the County, referred to as "La Mesa County," share community identity with the incorporated citizens and often take advantage of the many services provided by the City of La Mesa. These individuals consider themselves La Mesans.

Since only verbal identification of the origin of waste from commercial drivers and self-haulers is required by the local landfill operator, it is very likely that waste which should rightfully be allocated to "San Diego-Unincorporated" is actually being reported as "La Mesa" (the city). We believe self-haulers that visit the EDCO transfer station located in La Mesa may be: 1) incorrectly stating where the waste was generated due to a belief that they will receive a lower disposal rate or, 2) they are using their home or business address in La Mesa when reporting at the weigh station rather than the address of the location where the waste was actually generated. The City, in conjunction with EDCO is currently conducting a review and study of the impacts of misreporting on the city's diversion rate. Additionally, EDCO recently installed signage at the La Mesa transfer station informing customers that they will not receive a discounted rate if their waste originated in La Mesa. The signage is similar in design to signage at other local area transfer stations. EDCO will be installing signage in Spanish in addition to the existing English sign. The City will conduct additional outreach to self-haul customers by distributing flyers in English and Spanish at the transfer station to self-haul customers reinterating the importance of proper reporting of the origin of incoming waste. The City also plans to explore the use of economic incentives to promote commercial and C&D recycling after outreach and public education efforts are well underway.

# 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.

The City is requesting an extension of time to December 31, 2005. The City believes that this extension will allow it to oversee the "recharging" of existing SRRE programs, as well as allow for time to finalize and introduce a region-wide ordinance for construction and demolition materials. The additional time extension will allow a reasonable amount of time for the City to introduce its C&D ordinance or policy in conjunction with a cooperative, region-wide effort to pass C&D ordinances. It is our goal to have the recharge effort, as well as the C&D ordinance or policy in place by July 1, 2005, allowing a six-month evaluation period to determine if the City is achieving the 50% diversion requirement.

#### 3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

In conjunction with our Franchised Hauler, the City has implemented all of the originally listed SRRE programs with the exception of a composting facility. That program was dropped in favor of an alternative program using greenwaste for alternative daily cover. In addition to implementing programs listed in the City's SRRE the City has implemented several additional programs not listed in the City's SRRE to meet the City's waste diversion needs and the City has expanded or improved several SRRE selected programs to make the programs more effective or divert additional materials. Some examples of improvements to SRRE selected programs include the expansion of the residential curbside program to multi-family units and the improvement of the program from a source separated program to a single stream automated commingled program, which resulted in a 6.3% increase in diversion in the first 12 months of the program. The City has expanded their education and outreach program to increase the participation in the City's commercial on-site collection program and the City's hauler takes extra steps at the transfer station, located in the City, to pull C&D material and other large recyclable items from the waste that comes into the transfer station.

#### 4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

No additional information to be provided.

## Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good fait effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.  Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).	ood faith aith
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.  Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).	
1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.	
2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?	
	$\dashv$
3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.	ŀ
4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.	

### Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		40%	40% Non-residential %			60%		
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM		DATE FULL COMPLETE	COLIMATED		
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/ LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm								
2000-RC-CRB (Curbside & Multi-Family)	Expand	The City will expand multi-far the majority of the multi-famil with a special focus on imple largest complexes. The City's enforcement of the City's ma and outreach and education recycling to new facilities and more effective at all multi-fam	y complexes within the City menting recycling at the will use more aggressive ndatory recycling ordinance efforts to expand the I to make the recycling	Trash Rate	7/1/05	2%		
2030-RC-OSP (Commercial On-Site)	Expand	The City will expand commer that are currently not particip by conducting outreach and via public education to equat methods of financial savings. pieces to conduct public outroutreach via newsletters and work with business owners to recycling needs. EDCO will uto the progress they have ma services for additional commadditional outreach needs to	cial recycling to businesses ating in recycling programs education. Expand efforts e commercial recycling with Create direct mailing each and enhance current web sites. Continue to analyze waste stream and update the City monthly as ade in establishing recycling ercial accounts and where	Trash Rate	7/1/05	2%		
4060-SP-CAR (Concrete, Asphalt, Rubble)	Expand	The City will increase C&D re construction projects or large implementing a construction ordinance. After the ordinance adopted by our City Council, contractors and builders with where they can recycle the c materials. City staff in our Bu divisions will be trained to wathrough the process in order compliance. Once trained, C to monitor implementation or resource if questions arise.	ecycling for new ar remodel projects by and demolition policy or ce or policy has been the City will provide brochures listing locations onstruction and demolition ailding and Planning alk contractors and builders to gain a greater degree of city staff will be also be able	Permit processing cost recovery Fee	7/1/05	3%		
7020-FR-TST (Transfer Station)	Expand	Identify misallocated waste a station operator, EDCO, to e residents that use the transfe of proper disposal reporting. commercial hauler and self-hmisrepresentation of origin of continue to conduct training to insure they are asking the origin of self-haul materials.	ducate businesses and er station on the importance Conduct public education of naulers to avoid f waste. EDCO will also staff at the transfer station	Trash Rate	7/1/05	5%		

Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs	12%
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report	38%
 Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated	50%

## PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED		
Public Education	Expand	The City will expand its education program to target commercial and multi-family recycling, contractors and builders generating construction and demolition waste, and commercial self-haulers. The City will entail creating printed brochures that will be targeted at multi-family and commercial recycling. The City will continue to work with contractors and builders to achieve a higher diversion rate for construction and demolition materials by providing them with updated information in brochure format detailing the location of facilities that recycle construction and demolition materials. The City will hand out flyers at EDCO Station informing self-haulers that it is important to correctly report the origin of self-hauled materials. The City will also continue to partner with EDCO to conduct presentations and one-on-one consultations with businesses and multi-family residences to help better meet their recycling needs.	On-going		
Outreach/ Increased Enforcement	Expand	The City will target those multi-family units and commercial properties that can benefit most from recycling services. The City's mandatory recycling ordiance will be used as a tool to help pursuade multi-family residences and commercial businesses to find space on their properties to allocate to recycling services. For those mult-family residences and/or commercial businesses where space allocation is an issue the City will aggressively work with owners/managers to place at least recycling carts rather than dumpster bins. For contractors and builders generating construction and demolition materials, the City will train its staff to properly enforce the C&D policy or ordinance that is adopted. Staff will also be trained to look for violations of the policy or ordinance in the field.	On-going		
Ordinance or Policy	Expand	The City will introduce a construction and demolition policy or ordinance to our City Council for approval. This ordinance will follow the format developed by the regional Technical Advisory Board and will be phased-in to ensure that contractors and builders have sufficient knowledge of the process in order to efficiently implement the policy or ordinance. We will work with our Building and Planning divisions to ensure that they are also knowledgeable about implementing the policy or ordinance when permits are issued and inspections are conducted on the job site.	Ordinance or Policy introduced in February or March 2005. Implementation and evaluation on-going.		
Economic Incentives	Expand	The City also plans to explore the use of economic incentives to promote commercial and C&D recycling after outreach and public education efforts are well underway.	On-going		

Section I	V	B—GOAL	ACHIEVEMENT	

Goal Achievement describes the activities the jurisdiction will use to achieve the ADR. Attach additional sheets if necessary

Attach additional sh	eets if ned	cessary	**** *********************************					
Resid	ential %			Non-	residential %	· · · I		
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:  www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG Central/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm			ESCRIPTION OF PROC	FUNDING DAT SOURCE COM		FULLY PLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION	
·								
W-1111								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Total	Estimated Diversion P	ercent From New	and/or Expanded I	Program	s	
			Current Diversion Ra	ate Percent From L	atest Annual Repo	ort		
	-		Total Planne	d Diversion Perce	nt Estimated			
	PF	ROGRAMS	SUPPORTING	DIVERSION	ACTIVITIES	<del></del>		
PROGRAM TYPE NEW or EXPAND		DE	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM			DATE FULLY COMPLETED		
						·.		

#### Section V - PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.